

Pathology readouts of complex in vitro models in safety assessments

Nadine Stokar-Regenscheit

Scientific Lead Investigative Pathology

Roche Pharma Research and Early Development (pRED), Pharmaceutical Sciences, Roche Innovation Center Basel, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ldt, Basel, Switzerland

02/28/2023 | SST Scientific Exchange Forum

Table of contents



- 1. Introduction to New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) and Complex in vitro Models (CIVM)
- 2. Pathology readouts of CIVMs Ex. Histotechniques and digital pathology with BBB organoids
- 3. NAMs toolbox for combined safety / efficacy assessment of tumor targeting T cell bi-specific antibodies (TCBs) *Ex: Spatiotemporal readouts of PBMC co-cultured gut organoids*



New Approach Methodologies (NAMs)

Definition











Computational methods

"CDER considers NAMs to include a broad range of methods such as **in vitro**, **in chemico**, and **in silico** methods. **In vivo** methods can also be considered NAMs when they **improve predictivity**, shift studies to **phylogenetically lower animals**, or otherwise help replace, reduce, and refine animals use (i.e. **3Rs**) in development programs" ¹ NAMs are defined as any **technology**, **methodology, approach**, or combination that can provide information on chemical hazard and risk assessment **without the use of animals**, including **in silico**, **in chemico**, **in vitro**, and **ex vivo** approaches (ECHA, 2016b; EPA, 2018d)^{2, 3}

New Approach Methodologies (NAMs)

Definition

NAMs are not necessarily newly developed methods; rather, it is their **application** to each agency's regulatory decision-making process or **replacement of a traditional testing requirement** that is new.⁴

EPA New Approach Methods Work Plan: Reducing Use of Vertebrate Animals in Chemical Testing⁵





Advancing Alternative Methods at FDA 6

Complex in vitro models (CIVM)

Definition and potential applications in drug development

What are Complex in vitro models⁷

Opportunities in drug discovery/development process with potential CIVM applications⁸





New Approach Methodologies (NAMs)

Why NAMs & CIVM?

Given the limitations associated with animal testing \rightarrow need for faster, less expensive, and more informative and more predictive new approaches to gathering toxicological information



Compound attrition rates remain challenging for drug companies ⁸

- Bioethical, Reproducibility, and Translational Challenges of Animal Models ⁹
- low animal-to-human translational success rates -"translational failure" ^{10, 11}



Strong push to **move away from NHP** testing

- Cynomolgus monkey as "endangered species"
- Supply constraints, Illegal trafficking of monkeys for laboratory use, occasionally challenges on health status of monkeys ¹²⁻²⁰



New Approach Methodologies (NAMs)



Regulatory landscape

- Regulatory Laws, Guidances (e.g. ICH, EMA, FDA..) already incorporated the use of NAMs <u>FDA Modernization Act 2.0</u>, <u>FDA Modernization Act 3.0</u> introduced
- Rapid evolution of new technologies out-pacing ability to integrate new tools into current testing framework(s)
- Literature on framework for regulatory acceptance ²², increasing confidence in NAMs, standards and best practices ^{23, 24} etc. available
- Collaborative efforts necessary between generators of these methods, users, regulators (end users)
- Dialogue and education between scientists and regulators Consortia & Initiatives



FDA Modernization Act 2.0

"Allows for alternatives to animal testing for purposes of drug and biological product application"

23rd December 2022 - U.S. House Approval

Republicans and Democrats Introduce the FDA Modernization Act 3.0, Requiring FDA to Implement Animal Testing Reforms Passed by Congress Over a Year Ago



Regulatory Guidances

NAMs relevant ICH guidelines

Regulatory Guidances

<u>ICH S10 Photosafety Evaluation of Pharmaceuticals Guidance for Industry, 2015</u> – Use of in chemico and in vitro approaches to assess phototoxicity potential.

<u>ICH S5(R3)</u> Detection of Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity for Human Pharmaceuticals Guidance for Industry, 2021 – Description of testing strategies utilizing alternative assays for the assessment of malformations and embryofetal lethality, and the qualification process for these alternative assays.

<u>ICH M7(R1)</u> Assessment and Control of DNA Reactive (Mutagenic) Impurities in Pharmaceuticals To Limit Potential Carcinogenic Risk <u>Guidance for Industry, 2018</u> – Use of computational approaches for the assessment of mutagenic potential of drug impurities.

ICH S3A Guidance: Note for Guidance on Toxicokinetics: The Assessment of Systemic Exposure in Toxicity Studies: Focus on Microsampling Questions and Answers Guidance for Industry, 2018 – Use of microsampling in toxicity studies.

<u>Oncology Pharmaceuticals: Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Labeling Recommendations Guidance for Industry, 2019</u> – Potential use of alternative assays, such as fit-for-purpose in vitro or ex vivo, or nonmammalian in vivo assays for assessment of reproductive toxicity.

NAMs Consortia, Initiatives & Workshops



A way to interact (formally/informally) with regulators & colleagues across industries



U.S. FOOD & DRUG

Advancing New Alternative Methodologies at FDA



FDA: Desire to develop more predictive models of toxicological response:

- Tox21 Consortium,
- FDA Predictive Toxicology Roadmap.
- FDA Alternative Methods Working Group

FDA's Alternative Methods Working Group



Adopting New Approach Methodologies (NAM) in the next generation risk assessment (NGRA)

IQ Microphysiological Systems Affiliate

https://toxminds.com/adopting-new-approach-methodologies-nam-in-the-next-generation-risk-assessment-ngra/



Framework & Role of Pathologists

Contribution to the scientific confidence in NAMs applied in drug development

A framework for establishing scientific confidence in new approach methodologies ²²





- What role can we play as a pathologist?
- How can we contribute with our expertise and our technologies?
- How to get involved and familiar with CIVMs as a Pathologist?



Pathology readouts of complex in vitro models (CIVM)

02/28/2023 | SST Scientific Exchange Forum



Acknowledgement



Luisa Bell, PhD thesis Blood-brain barrier transport in Alzheimer's disease



Marius Harter, Master thesis PBMC co-cultured gut organoids for toxicity evaluation

02/28/2023 | SST Scientific Exchange Forum

Pathology readouts of CIVMs

What role can we play as Pathologists? How can we contribute with our technology and expertise?

High - throughput compound screening (in vitro)



- 1. Pathology tissue technologies, clinpath & automated (AI-based) readouts \rightarrow high-throughput increase
- 2. Pathologists expertise guide the selection of the most predictive non-clinical model for a defined context of use





Pathology readouts of CIVMs

How can we contribute with our technology and expertise? Ex. blood-brain barrier (BBB) organoids

 Molecular pathology-guided model engineering





Model characterization and validation



- Functional readouts for efficacy and toxicity
 - Compound distribution
 - Toxicity assessment



Automated AI-guided histology readouts of complex in vitro models



How to get involved with CIVM \rightarrow Workflow (Ex. blood-brain barrier (BBB) organoids)



Quantitative and qualitative evaluation of nuclei segmentation



Pathology readouts of CIVMs

Get to know your model you work with - characterization for the context of use is crucial!



Histology-guided engineering and characterization of complex *in vitro* model of blood-brain barrier for efficacy/toxicity in drug development

Luisa Bell^{1,3}, Martina Pigoni², Roberto Villaseñor², Jose Galvan¹, Claire Simonneau¹, Chiara Zanini¹, Christelle Zundel¹, Petra Stäuble¹, and Nadine Stokar-Regenscheit¹

Roche Pharma Research and Early Development (pRED), ¹Pharmaceutical Sciences, ²Neuroscience & Rare diseases, Roche Innovation Center Basel, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland; ³Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland



Figure 5. Molecular pathodog-guided characterization of BBB organoids and validation of the marken towards human brain. A) Previously identified BBB markens for each oal type (PGP for brain endothelial cells, NG2 for Pericytes, GFAP for astrocytes) have been detected using IHC on BBB organoids and B) in the corresponding tissue of origin human brain) for validation.



Compound detection in organoids

As a proof of concept, we applied our newly developed histotechniques to detect compounds in organoids which then can be used for efficacy/toxicity studies during preclinical drug development. As a tool compound, we used a BrainShuttle, a monovalent antibody against the human transferrin receptor.

BrainShuttle Non targeting IgG



Figure 7. Human IgG IHC of BBB organoids detecting the Brain/Shuttle vs. non targeting IgG. BBB organoids have been treated with BBB targeting Brain/Shuttle or non-penetrating IgG for 4h, respectively. By IHC, we can identify the spatial distribution of BBB penetrating antibodies in BBB organoids.

Discussion and Conclusion

- The BBB model consists of three relevant cell types: brain endothelial cells, pericytes and astrocytes
- Cellular spatial distribution supports functional characterization of an intact BBB
- Compared to human tissue, the BBB organoid contains **apoptotic**, **proliferating and atypical cells**
- In future, histotechniques can be further **expanded** to other CIVMs and used for efficacy and safety assessment in preclinical drug development



Koche





NAMs toolbox for combined safety / efficacy assessment of tumor targeting TCBs

Case example: Spatiotemporal readouts of PBMC co-cultured gut organoids

Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) T-cell bispecific antibody (TCB)²⁵



Cancer Therapy: Preclinical

A Novel Carcinoembryonic Antigen T-Cell Bispecific Antibody (CEA TCB) for the Treatment of Solid Tumors 12

Marina Bacac¹, Tanja Fauti¹, Johannes Sam¹, Sara Colombetti¹, Tina Weinzierl¹, Djamila Ouaret², Walter Bodmer², Steffi Lehmann³, Thomas Hofer⁴, Ralf J. Hosse⁴, Ekkehard Moessner⁴, Oliver Ast⁴, Peter Bruenker⁴, Sandra Grau-Richards⁴, Teilo Schaller¹, Annette Seidl⁵, Christian Gerdes¹, Mario Perro¹, Valeria Nicolini¹, Nathalie Steinhoff¹, Sherri Dudal⁶, Sebastian Neumann⁷, Thomas von Hirschheydt⁸, Christiane Jaeger⁴, Jose Saro⁹, Vaios Karanikas⁹, Christian Klein¹, and Pablo Umaña¹

CLINICAL STUDIES

Application of a MABEL Approach for a T-Cell-Bispecific Monoclonal Antibody: CEA TCB

Dudal, Sherri^{*}; Hinton, Heather[†]; Giusti, Anna M.[†]; Bacac, Marina[†]; Muller, Magali^{*}; Fauti, Tanja[†]; Colombetti, Sara[†]; Heckel, Tobias^{*}; Giroud, Nicolas^{*}; Klein, Christian[†]; Umaña, Pablo[†]; Benincosa, Lisa^{*}; Bachl, Juergen^{*}; Singer, Thomas^{*}; Bray-French, Katharine^{*} Clinical

Cancer Research

29

Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) T-cell bispecific antibody (TCB)²⁵



Cancer Therapy: Preclinical

A Novel Carcinoembryonic Antigen T-Cell Bispecific Antibody (CEA TCB) for the Treatment of Solid Tumors 12

Marina Bacac¹, Tanja Fauti¹, Johannes Sam¹, Sara Colombetti¹, Tina Weinzierl¹, Djamila Ouaret², Walter Bodmer², Steffi Lehmann³, Thomas Hofer⁴, Ralf J. Hosse⁴, Ekkehard Moessner⁴, Oliver Ast⁴, Peter Bruenker⁴, Sandra Grau-Richards⁴, Teilo Schaller¹, Annette Seidl⁵, Christian Gerdes¹, Mario Perro¹, Valeria Nicolini¹, Nathalie Steinhoff¹, Sherri Dudal⁶, Sebastian Neumann⁷, Thomas von Hirschheydt⁸, Christiane Jaeger⁴, Jose Saro⁹, Vaios Karanikas⁹, Christian Klein¹, and Pablo Umaña¹

Challenges in CEAtargeting TCB development

Clinical experience with Cibisatamab (CEA TCB): trigger (manageable) diarrhea in phase I clinical trials, suggesting on-target off-tumor intestinal reactivity, consistent with CEA expression in the healthy intestine

Clinical

Cancer Research

25

 \rightarrow need for predictive non-clinical models for future CEA-targeting TCBs

Cibisatamab (RG-7802) is under development for the treatment of advanced metastatic CEA-positive solid tumors including metastatic colorectal cancer, squamous non-small cell lung cancer (first and third line therapy), breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, gastric cancer including gastroesophageal junction cancer. This antibody acts as a bi-specific T-cell engager. The drug candidate acts by targeting carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA, CEACAM5, and CD66e) antigen on tumor cells and CD3 on T cells.²⁶



In vitro models & readouts for CEA-targeting TCBs

MatTek Intestinal epithelium (transwell)

(initiated from human gut biopsies)



Anneliese Schneider, Martin Lechmann, Heather Hinton, Cristina Bertinetti-Lapatki, Anna Maria Giusti **Intestinal epithelium organoids** (initiated from human gut biopsies)



Harter et al., 2023 27



Why were on-target GI effects not reflected in vitro?

Current limitations of organoid models in preclinical safety pipeline

- Organotypic properties of organoids often not validated
- Organoids often lack indispensable immune compartment for capturing TCB-mediated effects
- No adequate assays / readouts to capture multifactorial TCB-triggered toxicity
- Most assays focus on epithelium, without mechanistic insight of interaction between epithelial and immune cells
- Usually low-throughput assays without critical spatial dissection

0 hours 24 hours 48 hours 72 ours

Standard 5x Caspase (killing) readout (Ex: gut organoids)

Harter et al., 2023²⁷



Why were on-target GI effects not reflected in vitro?

Current limitations of organoid models in preclinical safety pipeline

- Organotypic properties of organoids often not validated
- Organoids often lack indispensable immune compartment for capturing TCB-mediated effects
- No adequate assays / readouts to capture multifactorial TCB-triggered toxicity
- Most assays focus on epithelium, without mechanistic insight of interaction between epithelial and immune cells
- Usually low-throughput assays without critical spatial dissection



Pathology readouts of PBMC co-cultured gut organoids



Molecular pathology guided model engineering, characterization and morphologic toxicity readouts of a novel PBMC co-cultured gut organoids for the purpose to assess on-target toxicity

Characterization & validation towards human tissue



Guide optimization / engineering of the model

Characterization of human gut organoid differentiation over time to assess the best treatment time point



Automated high resolution toxicity readouts



Spatial quantification of PBMCs / e.g. CD8+ T cells in CEACAM5 TCB treated gut organoids

Model characterization & evaluation of the best treatment timepoint

Gut organoids - characterization towards human small intestine tissue by Immunohistochemistry (IHC)



Harter et al, 2023²⁷

(Roche)



Model characterization with multiplex immunofluorescence

Retention of spatial location of PBMCs co-cultured intestinal organoids





CEA (tool) TCB mediated killing in PBMC co-cultured gut organoids

Histology (HE) and Multiplex Immunofluorescence (IF) readouts

CEA (tool) TCB

NT TCB (control)





CEA (tool) TCB mediated killing in PBMC co-cultured gut organoids

Spatiotemporal evaluation of PBMCs - gut epithelium interaction





Summary & Conclusion

Results

- PBMCs co-cultured colon organoids & spatial readouts allow off-tumor on-target toxicity evaluation in vitro
- Pathology expertise and tissue technologies / AI-based morphological image analysis as key readouts for CIVM engineering, model characterization and toxicity evaluation

Implications

- Fit for purpose model characterization is key (need to understand the limitations!)
- Readouts and non-clinical models need to be co-created
- More than one tool (NAMs, e.g. GEMM, CIVM, in silico etc.) may be needed for predictive translation to human
- Regulatory frame to be set

Opportunities

- Leveraging new technologies allows innovative model development (GEMMs & CIVMs) & characterization
- Combine efficacy & safety readout in the same non-clinical models
- Back-translation efforts allow improvement of models and readouts
- Scale approach for other targets & organ systems

Acknowledgements



CIVM & Pathology Histotechnology / Al readout Luisa Bell Christelle Zundel Marius Harter Gabrielle Branellec Virginie Ott Rachel Neff José Galvan Petra Stäuble Björn Jacobsen Vanessa Schumacher Kerstin Hahn Anna Maria Giusti Tom Albrecht Benjamin Gutierrez Becker Elena Kassianidou

Blood-brain barrier organoids

(PhD Thesis Luisa Bell)

Luisa Bell Roberto Villasenor Claire Simmoneau Elena Kassianidou Chiara Zanini Martina Pigoni Prof. Alex Odermatt, University of Basel

PBMC co-cultured gut organoids

(Master Thesis Marius Harter)

Marius Harter Nikolche Gjorevski Regine Gerard Blandine Avignon Christelle Zundel Luisa Bell Marina Bacac Johannes Sam Anneliese Schneider Timothy Recaldin Kristina Kromer Prof. Johannes Moosbacher, FHNW Muttenz

Project team CEA-targeting TCB

Anneliese Schneider Martin Lechmann Heather Hinton Cristina Bertinetti-Lapatki Anna Maria Giusti Nicolas Frances Greaor Lotz Hanna Piper-Lepoutre Anna Maria Giusti Barbara Lenz Virginie Ott Petra Staeuble Francois Christen Helene Haegel Marina Bacac Johannes Sam Sara Colombetti Cristian Berce Andrzej Sobieniecki Marine Richard Christine McIntyre Thomas Stuchly

Doing now what patients need next

References

Roche

References (1)



- 1) Avila, et al. An FDA/CDER perspective on nonclinical testing strategies: Classical toxicology approaches and new approach methodologies (NAMs). Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology:114 (2020)
- European Chemicals Agency (2016) New approach methodologies in regulatory science. <u>https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/21838212/scientific_ws_proceedings_en.pdf/a2087434-0407-4705-9</u> 057-95d9c2c2cc57. Accessed 11 May 2022 U.S.
- 3) Environmental Protection Agency (2018) Strategic plan to promote the development and implementation of alternative test methods within the TSCA program. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-06/documents/epa_alt_strat_plan_6-20-18_clean_final.pdf
- 4) <u>https://www.fda.gov/food/toxicology-research/new-approach-methods-nams</u>
- 5) <u>https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/epa-new-approach-methods-work-plan-reducing-use-vertebrate-animals-chemical</u>
- 6) <u>https://www.fda.gov/science-research/about-science-research-fda/advancing-alternative-methods-fda</u>
- 7) IQ Affiliates MPS, https://www.iqmps.org/
- 8) Fabre K, Berridge B, Proctor WR, Ralston S, Will Y, Baran SW, Yoder G, Van Vleet TR. Introduction to a manuscript series on the characterization and use of microphysiological systems (MPS) in pharmaceutical safety and ADME applications. Lab Chip. 2020 Mar 17;20(6):1049-1057.
- 9) Leenaars, C.H.C., Kouwenaar, C., Stafleu, F.R. *et al.* Animal to human translation: a systematic scoping review of reported concordance rates. *J Transl Med* 17, 223 (2019).
- 10) Landi M, Everitt J, Berridge B. Bioethical, Reproducibility, and Translational Challenges of Animal Models. ILAR J. 2021 Dec 31;62(1-2):60-65.
- 11) Seyhan, A.A. Lost in translation: the valley of death across preclinical and clinical divide identification of problems and overcoming obstacles. *transl med commun* 4, 18 (2019).

References (2)



12) <u>https://neprimateconservancy.org/long-tailed-macaque/</u>

13)

https://www.the-scientist.com/news-opinion/what-happens-to-science-when-model-organisms-become-endangered-70619

14)

https://news.mongabay.com/2022/08/endangered-species-listing-of-long-tailed-macaques-shocking-painful-predictable-commen tary/

- 15) <u>Long-Tailed Macaque, Macaca fascicularis | New England Primate Conservancy (neprimateconservancy.org)</u>
- 16) <u>Cambodian officials charged with wildlife trafficking, a 'wake-up call' for global monkey trade Southeast Asia Globe</u>
- 17) <u>Wild Monkeys Smuggled for Pharma Research in U.S., Feds Say | Miami New Times</u>
- 18) Justice Department charges 8 in alleged international monkey smuggling ring (nbcnews.com)
- 19) <u>8 Charged in Scheme to Smuggle Endangered Monkeys From Asia, U.S. Says The New York Times (nytimes.com)</u>
- 20) <u>Ministry to 'seek justice' for officials indicted in US for 'monkey smuggling' | Phnom Penh Post</u>
- 21) <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/senate-bill/5002</u>
- 22) van der Zalm, A.J., Barroso, J., Browne, P. *et al.* A framework for establishing scientific confidence in new approach methodologies. *Arch Toxicol* 96, 2865–2879 (2022).
- 23) OECD Guidance document on Guidance Document on Good Cell and Tissue Culture Practice 2.0 (GCCP 2.0); Guidance document on Good In Vitro Methods Practices (GIVMP)
- 24) ICCVAM: Interagency Coordination on the validation of Alternative Methods; JaCVAM: Japanese Center for the Validation of Alternative Methods; ECVAM European Center for the Validation of Alternative Methods



References (3)

Regulatory Guidance documents associated with NAMs

Regulatory Guidances

<u>ICH S10 Photosafety Evaluation of Pharmaceuticals Guidance for Industry, 2015</u> – Use of in chemico and in vitro approaches to assess phototoxicity potential.

<u>ICH S5(R3) Detection of Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity for Human Pharmaceuticals Guidance for Industry, 2021</u> – Description of testing strategies utilizing alternative assays for the assessment of malformations and embryofetal lethality, and the qualification process for these alternative assays.

<u>ICH M7(R1)</u> Assessment and Control of DNA Reactive (Mutagenic) Impurities in Pharmaceuticals To Limit Potential Carcinogenic Risk <u>Guidance for Industry, 2018</u> – Use of computational approaches for the assessment of mutagenic potential of drug impurities.

ICH S3A Guidance: Note for Guidance on Toxicokinetics: The Assessment of Systemic Exposure in Toxicity Studies: Focus on Microsampling Questions and Answers Guidance for Industry, 2018 – Use of microsampling in toxicity studies.

<u>Oncology Pharmaceuticals: Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Labeling Recommendations Guidance for Industry, 2019</u> – Potential use of alternative assays, such as fit-for-purpose in vitro or ex vivo, or nonmammalian in vivo assays for assessment of reproductive toxicity.



References (4)

25) Bacac M, Fauti T, Sam J, Colombetti S, Weinzierl T, Ouaret D, Bodmer W, Lehmann S, Hofer T, Hosse RJ, Moessner E, Ast O, Bruenker P, Grau-Richards S, Schaller T, Seidl A, Gerdes C, Perro M, Nicolini V, Steinhoff N, Dudal S, Neumann S, von Hirschheydt T, Jaeger C, Saro J, Karanikas V, Klein C, Umaña P. A Novel Carcinoembryonic Antigen T-Cell Bispecific Antibody (CEA TCB) for the Treatment of Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2016 Jul 1;22(13):3286-97.

26)

https://www.pharmaceutical-technology.com/data-insights/cibisatamab-f-hoffmann-la-roche-solid-tumor-likelihood-of-approval/

27) Harter MF, Recaldin T, Gerard R, et al. Analysis of off-tumour toxicities of T-cell-engaging bispecific antibodies via donor-matched intestinal organoids and tumouroids. *Nat Biomed Eng.* Published online December 19, 2023.

28) Kamperschroer C, Shenton J, Lebrec H, Leighton JK, Moore PA, Thomas O. Summary of a workshop on preclinical and translational safety assessment of CD3 bispecifics. J Immunotoxicol. 2020 Dec;17(1):67-85.

29) Dudal S, Hinton H, Giusti AM, Bacac M, Muller M, Fauti T, Colombetti S, Heckel T, Giroud N, Klein C, Umaña P, Benincosa L, Bachl J, Singer T, Bray-French K. Application of a MABEL Approach for a T-Cell-Bispecific Monoclonal Antibody: CEA TCB. J Immunother. 2016 Sep;39(7):279-89.

Doing now what patients need next